1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110	STEPHEN C. STEINBERG (SBN 230656) ssteinberg@bzbm.com TIFFANY S. HANSEN (SBN 292850) thansen@bzbm.com BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER A Professional Law Corporation One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1900 Facsimile: (415) 956-1152 MARK S. PALMER (SBN 203256) mark@palmerlex.com 4 Meadow Drive Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 336.7002 Facsimile: (415) 634-1671 Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Claimants PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK	FORD		
11 12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION			
14	STARDOCK SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK FORD, Defendants. PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK FORD, Counter-Claimants, v. STARDOCK SYSTEMS, INC., Counter-Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STARDOCK'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER Judge: Saundra B. Armstrong		
25 26 27				

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STARDOCK'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Case No. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

2635.000/1355214.1

1	Before the Court is Plaintiff Stardock Systems, Inc.'s ("Stardock") administrative motion to			
2	amend the Court's Scheduling Order under Civil Local Rule 7-11. Defendants and Counter-			
3	Claimants Paul Reiche III and Robert Frederick Ford's (collectively, "Reiche and Ford") oppose			
4	Stardock's administrative motion and proposed schedule. Having read and considered the papers			
5	filed in connection with these matters and being fully informed, the Court hereby DENIES			
6	Stardock's administrative motion to amend the Court's Scheduling Order.			
7	In the alternative, the Court GRANTS in part and DENIES in part Stardock's administrative			
8	motion to modify the Scheduling Order and hereby enters the following amended case schedule:			
Ϋ́Ι	me seem seems seems and seems and me	eree's enters the reme wing	s annemaca case semedane.	
9	Event	Original Date	Amended Date	
9	Event	Original Date [Dkt. 70]	Amended Date	
9	Event Fact Discovery Cutoff	Original Date [Dkt. 70] December 21, 2018	Amended Date January 21, 2019	
9	Event Fact Discovery Cutoff Expert Designation	Original Date [Dkt. 70] December 21, 2018 January 11, 2019	Amended Date January 21, 2019 February 11, 2019	
9 10 11	Event Fact Discovery Cutoff Expert Designation Rebuttal Expert Witness Designation	Original Date [Dkt. 70] December 21, 2018 January 11, 2019 February 8, 2019 February 22, 2019	Amended Date January 21, 2019 February 11, 2019 March 8, 2019 March 22, 2019	
9 10 11 12	Event Fact Discovery Cutoff Expert Designation Rebuttal Expert Witness Designation Expert Discovery Cutoff	Original Date [Dkt. 70] December 21, 2018 January 11, 2019 February 8, 2019 February 22, 2019 to provide, no later than the	Amended Date January 21, 2019 February 11, 2019 March 8, 2019 March 22, 2019 he day after this signed order,	
9 10 11 12 13	Event Fact Discovery Cutoff Expert Designation Rebuttal Expert Witness Designation Expert Discovery Cutoff The Court further ORDERS Stardock	Original Date [Dkt. 70] December 21, 2018 January 11, 2019 February 8, 2019 February 22, 2019 to provide, no later than the	Amended Date January 21, 2019 February 11, 2019 March 8, 2019 March 22, 2019 he day after this signed order,	
9 10 11 12 13 14	Event Fact Discovery Cutoff Expert Designation Rebuttal Expert Witness Designation Expert Discovery Cutoff The Court further ORDERS Stardock dates for the depositions of witnesses noticed	Original Date [Dkt. 70] December 21, 2018 January 11, 2019 February 8, 2019 February 22, 2019 to provide, no later than the	Amended Date January 21, 2019 February 11, 2019 March 8, 2019 March 22, 2019 he day after this signed order,	

 $7 \parallel$ IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

The Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong United States District Judge

26

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28